

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/694,894	10/29/2003	Hideki Morikaku	Q78196	4515
23373 7	590 10/28/2004		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			GUSHI, ROSS N	
2100 PENNSY SUITE 800	LVANIA AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20037			2833	

DATE MAILED: 10/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/694,894	MORIKAKU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ross N. Gushi	2833				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on the first 10/, 4/24						
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) / - / ○ is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) / is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) _ is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) _ is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) _ is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) _ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on ½/4/₃ is/are: a)☒ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression is considered to be the Expression of the						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)	_					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2833

j"

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 2-10 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 10/14/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 1, the limitation that the harness extends in a direction opposite to "the other bracket" is indefinite because no other bracket is recited. For the purposes on analysis, the limitation is treated a meaning that the harnesses extend parallel to the axis of the rotating shaft of the machine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Shimizu. Per claim 1, Shimizu discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: an output terminal

Art Unit: 2833

board 174 mounted on one bracket of the electric rotating machine, and output harnesses 182 connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board in such a manner as to extend in a direction parallel to the axis of the rotating shaft of the machine.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Imori et al. ("Imori") in view of Shimizu. Regarding claim 1, Imori discloses an electric rotating machine comprising: an output terminal board 10 mounted on one bracket of the electric rotating machine, and output harnesses (implied) connected to said output terminal board; wherein said output harnesses are connected to said output terminal board in such a manner as to extend in a direction parallel to the axis of the rotating shaft of the machine. To the extent that Imori does not show explicitly the harnesses, Shimizu discloses typical harnesses 180 for connection to the terminals. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to attach typical harnesses to the Imori terminals as taught in Shimizu. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate the transmission of electricity to and from the machine as taught in Shimizu and as is well known in the art. To the extent that arguably the structure 10 in Imori is not "terminal board," Shimizu discloses a terminal board 174. At the time of the

Application/Control Number: 10/694,894 Page 4

Art Unit: 2833

invention, it would have been obvious to modify the Imori insulation 10 into a terminal board such as a three terminal board as taught in Shimizu. The suggestion or motivation for doing so would have been to facilitate the transmission of three phase power as taught in Shimizu and as is well known in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Kaizu, Asao, Karlsberger, and Davis disclose various configurations of the output harnesses being led from the machine.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ross Gushi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2005. If attempts to reach the examiner by phone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paula A. Bradley, can be reached at 571-272-2800 extension 33. The phone number for the Group's facsimile is (703) 872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROSS GUSHI PRIMARY EXAMINER